

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/ 696,269 First Named Applicant: Jack A. Mobley
Examiner: P. Bataille Art Unit: 2186 Status of Application: Pending

Tentative Participants:

(1) Examiner Pierre Bataille (2) Mitchell K. McCarthy
(3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: Open Proposed Time: AM or PM (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

RECEIVED

MAR 26 2004

Technology Center 2100

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rej.</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>Au '718 & Lee '782</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) <u>Rej.</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>Au '718 & Lee '782</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) <u>Rej.</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Au '718 & Lee '782</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) <u>Rej.</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Au '718 & Lee '782</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

Clarification of Examiner's construction of recited claim features of head offset and scheduling a
seek as a function of the offset in view of cited art.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.


(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)